

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,417	01/28/2004	Douglas Swingley	14441	6974
23676	7590 12/02/2004	EXAMINER		INER
SHELDON & MAK, INC			HEWITT, JAMES M	
225 SOUTH L	AKE AVENUE			
9TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PASADENA,	CA 91101		3679	
			DATE MAIL ED: 12/02/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/768,417	SWINGLEY, DOUGLAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James M Hewitt	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period version of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ja	anuary 2004 and 07 July 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
Application Papers		•			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/04.		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

**Art Unit: 3679** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Unke (US 2,156,169).

With respect to claim 1 and with particular reference to Figure 4, Unke discloses a pipe fitting having a body (10) with an internal bore of a specific nominal diameter, the bore being threaded with internal bore threads which have sides, crests and roots, the sides being disposed at a specific angle, the roots being recessed such that, when an

externally threaded pipe (11) having the same nominal diameter as the bore of the pipe fitting body, and external threads with sides disposed at the same specific angle and with sharp crests is disposed within the bore of the pipe fitting body, the sharp crests of the external threads do not impinge upon the roots of the internal threads.

Page 3

With respect to claim 3, wherein the roots each have a base which is generally flat.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baubles (US 3,069,961).

With respect to claim 1, Baubles discloses a pipe fitting having a body with an internal bore of a specific nominal diameter, the bore being threaded with internal bore threads which have sides, crests and roots, the sides being disposed at a specific angle, the roots being recessed such that, when an externally threaded pipe having the same nominal diameter as the bore of the pipe fitting body, and external threads with sides disposed at the same specific angle and with sharp crests is disposed within the bore of the pipe fitting body, the sharp crests of the external threads do not impinge upon the roots of the internal threads.

With respect to claim 2, wherein the roots each have a base which is generally rounded (see for example Figures 3, 8 and 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unke (US 2,156,169).

With respect to claim 2, Unke fails to teach that the base of the roots is rounded. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the base of Unke's roots rounded instead of flat, since applicant has not disclosed that making the root base rounded instead of flat solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with a flat base.

With respect to claim 4, the amount of clearance between the crests of the externally threaded pipe and the roots of the pipe fitting is unclear. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Unke to have a clearance which is less than about 0.03 inches in depth, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baubles (US 3,069,961).

Art Unit: 3679

re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

With respect to claim 4, the amount of clearance between the crests of the externally threaded pipe and the roots of the pipe fitting is unclear. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baubles to have a clearance which is less than about 0.03 inches in depth, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In* 

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M Hewitt whose telephone number is 703-305-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 930am-600pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,417

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES M. HEWITT DRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6